# Litterature list LU0091 The process of research, 2021

**Mandatory readings:**

*Main course books:*

Creswell, John W., and J. David Creswell. (2018). 5th edition. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage publications, (the following chapters are mandatory 4, 5,6,7,9, the rest of the book is optional) (other editions fine as well, but page numbers refer to 5th ed)

Robson, Colin. (2002). *Real world research: A resource for social scientists and practitioner-researchers*. Wiley-Blackwell. (Page numbers refer to the third edition). The following pages are mandatory, chapter 3, 4, pages 70-77, chapter 9, the rest of the book is optional

*Other mandatory literature:*

Alvesson, M. (1999). Beyond Neo-Positivists, Romantics and Localists- A reflexive Approach to Interviews in Organisation Research. *Institute of Economic Research Working Paper Series* 28(1), 13-33.

Alvesson, Mats, and Dan Kärreman. (2007). Constructing mystery: Empirical matters in theory development." *Academy of management review* 32(4), 1265-1281.

Bowen, Glenn A. (2006). Grounded theory and sensitizing concepts. *International journal of qualitative methods* 5(3), 12-23.

Karl Zimmerer. (2015). Methods in Environmental Science. In Perreault, Tom, Gavin Bridge, and James McCarthy, eds. *The Routledge handbook of political ecology*. Routledge.

Long, J. W., Ballard, H. L., Fisher, L. A., & Belsky, J. M. (2016). Questions that won't go away in participatory research. *Society & Natural Resources, 29*(2), 250-263.

Moon, Katie, and Deborah Blackman. (2014). A guide to understanding social science research for natural scientists. *Conservation Biology* 28(5), 1167-1177.

Prowse, M. (2010). Integrating reflexivity into livelihoods research. *Progress in Development Studies, 10*(3), 211-231.

Strang, Veronica. (2009). Integrating the social and natural sciences in environmental research: a discussion paper. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 11(1), 1-18.

Swedberg, Richard. (2014). *The Art of Social Theory*. Princeton; Oxford: Princeton University Press. (pages 1 to 97 are mandatory, the rest of the book is optional)

**Optional:**

Bourdieu, P. et al. (1999). "The Weight of the World: Social Suffering in Contemporary Society." Stanford, CA. Stanford University Press. Pages 1-13.

Chambers, R. (1994). The Origins and Practice of Participatory Rural Appraisal. *World Development, 22*(7), 953-969. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(94)90141-4>

Fahy, Frances, and Henrike Rau, eds. (2013). *Methods of sustainability research in the social sciences*. Sage. Chapter 1: Sustainability Research in the Social Sciences – Concepts, Methodologies and the Challenge of Interdisciplinarity

Fischer, K., Schulz, K., & Chenais, E. (2020). Can we agree on that? Plurality, power and language in participatory research. *Preventive veterinary medicine, 180* (July 2020), 104991.

Graeber, David. (2012). [Dead zones of the imagination: On violence, bureaucracy, and interpretive labor: The Malinowski Memorial Lecture, 2006](https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.14318/hau2.2.007). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2(2), 105-128 <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdfplus/10.14318/hau2.2.007>

Jacobs, Sue-Ellen and Cassell, Joan. (1987). *Handbook on ethical issues in anthropology.* Introduction, chapter 1 and chapter 3. Found here: <https://www.americananthro.org/LearnAndTeach/Content.aspx?ItemNumber=12895&navItemNumber=731>

Jacobs, T., & Tschötschel, R. (2019). Topic models meet discourse analysis: a quantitative tool for a qualitative approach. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 22(5), 469-485. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2019.1576317>

Jacobson, K. (2013). From Betterment to Bt maize: Agricultural Development and the Introduction of Genetically Modified Maize to South African Smallholders (PhD Doctoral theisis). Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala. Section 2.2. as an example of literature review

Jørgensen, Marianne W., and Louise J. Phillips. (2002). *Discourse analysis as theory and method*. Sage. Chapter 1, introduction

Kanazawa, Mark. (2017). *Research methods for environmental studies: A social science approach*. Routledge. Chapter 1, introduction, Chapter 17: Data collection II, interviewing.

Katz, Jack. From How to Why: On Luminous Description and Causal Inference in Ethnography (Part I). *Ethnography*. 2001;2(4):443-473. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/146613801002004001>

Koot, S., & Fletcher, R. (2020). Popular Philanthrocapitalism? The Potential and Pitfalls of Online Empowerment in ‘Free’ Nature 2.0 Initiatives. *Environmental Communication A: Journal of Nature and Culture* 14, 287–99. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2019.1649707>

Kozinets, R., Dolbec, P. & Earley, A. (2014). Netnographic analysis: understanding culture through social media data.In Flick, U. (ed) *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data analysis* (pp. 262-276). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.

Marquardt, Kristina, Adam Pain, and Dil Bahadur Khatri. (2020). Re-reading Nepalese landscapes: labour, water, farming patches and trees. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods* 29(4), 238-259.

Mayr, P., & Weller, K. (2017). Think before you collect: Setting up a data collection approach for social media studies. In Mayr, P and Weller, K. *The SAGE handbook of social media research methods*, 108-124. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781473983847.n8>

Piazza, Roberta & Wodak, Ruth. (2021). DCA - Critical Discourse Analysis. (Will be available on Canvas)

Sandelowski, Margarete. (2000). Focus on research methods: Whatever happened to qualitative description *Research in Nursing & Health*, 2000, 23, 334-340.

Sköllerhorn, E. (1998). Habermas and nature : The theory of communicative action for studying environmental policy. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 41(5), 555–573. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640569811452> <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/09640569811452?needAccess=true>

Tribe, J. and M. Mkono (2017). Not such smart tourism? The concept of e-lienation. *Annals of Tourism Research* 66, 105-115.

Venturini, T., Bounegru, L., Gray, J., & Rogers, R. (2018). A reality check(list) for digital methods. *New Media & Society*, 20(11), 4195–4217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818769236>